

BIOTECHNOLOGY DESKBOOK

William L. Anderson, Nancy S. Bryson,
William M. Cohen, Robert C. Davis Jr.,
Philip Katz, Richard J. Mannix,
Steven P. Quarles, and Richard E. Schwartz

Table of Contents

About the Authors	ix
Foreword	xi
Chapter 1:	
I. The Federal Framework for the Regulation of Biotechnology	1
<i>A. Scope</i>	1
<i>B. The Coordinated Framework for the Regulation of Biotechnology</i>	1
1. The 1984 Proposal	2
2. Establishment of the Biotechnology Science Coordinating Committee (BSCC) and Finalization of the Statutory Matrix	3
3. The 1986 Framework	3
<i>a. Definition of “Intergeneric” and “Pathogen”</i>	3
4. Agency Statements of Policy	3
<i>a. FDA Statement of Policy for FFDCA-Regulated Foods</i>	3
<i>b. EPA Statement of Policy for FIFRA and TSCA</i>	4
<i>c. USDA Statement of Policy</i>	4
<i>d. OSHA Statement of Policy</i>	4
<i>e. NIH Statement of Policy</i>	4
<i>C. Field Research: The 1992 OSTP Planned Introductions</i>	4

D. <i>The National Research Council (NRC) 2000 Report: Genetically Modified Pest-Protected Plants</i>	5
E. <i>The OSTP/Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Case Studies</i>	5

Chapter 2:

I. The Umbrella Function of NEPA	7
A. <i>The Role of NEPA</i>	7
1. The CEQ	7
2. NEPA Regulations	7
3. The Role of EPA	7
4. The EIS Process	8
5. When Is an EIS Required?.	8
6. The Preparation of an EIS	9
7. Judicial Review	9
B. <i>Application of NEPA to Biotechnology</i>	9
1. EIS for the NIH <i>Guidelines</i> Satisfied NEPA Requirements for Laboratory Research.	9
2. Deliberate Release Into the Environment Decisions Require an Additional Hard Look	10
3. The Federal <i>Framework</i> Is Not a Major Federal Action	10
4. When NEPA Does Not Dictate Outcome—The “Hard Look”	10
5. New Sorts of Activities, Such as Bioprospecting, Trigger NEPA Review.	11
C. <i>The Role of the ESA</i>	11
1. Listing and Critical Habitat Designation	11
2. Prohibition on Unauthorized “Take” of a Listed Species.	12
3. Agency Jurisdiction and the Consultation Process	12
4. Habitat Conservation Plans (HCPs)	12
D. <i>Biotechnology and Endangered and Threatened Species</i>	13
1. EPA’s FIFRA Endangered Species Protection Program (ESPP)	13
2. Bt-Maize and Nontarget Endangered or Threatened Species	13
3. Transgenic Salmon Versus Wild Endangered Salmon	13
E. <i>Other U.S. Wildlife Laws</i>	14

Chapter 3:

I. The Role of the USDA for Plants and Animals	15
A. <i>The Role of the USDA</i>	15
B. <i>Statutory Authorities</i>	15
1. The PPA	15
2. The VSTA	15
3. Animal Pest Act	15
4. The FMIA	16
5. The PPIA	16
C. <i>APHIS</i>	16
D. <i>Other USDA Offices</i>	16
1. Grain Inspection, Packers, and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA)	16
2. The FSIS	16
3. Agricultural Research Service (ARS)	16
4. Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES).	17

<i>E. The USDA and NEPA</i>	17
<i>F. Plants</i>	17
1. Field Testing and Movement Permits	17
2. Streamlining and Notification	17
3. Petitioning for Nonregulated Status	18
4. Risk Assessment	18
5. An Expansion of the Notification Process	19
6. Extension of Previously Issued Determinations of Nonregulated Status	19
7. Special Concerns Regarding Trees	19
8. Case Study: Phytoremediation or Bioremediation Using Poplar Trees	20
9. The Record Under APHIS' Plant Protection Rules	20
<i>G. Animals</i>	21
1. Veterinary Biologics	21
2. Regulation of Animal Pests	22
3. The Veterinary Services Program Office	22
4. Case Study: Farm Animals That Produce Animal Biologics	22
Chapter 4:	
I. The FDA	25
<i>A. Statutory and Regulatory Authority</i>	25
<i>B. FDA Regulation of Food</i>	25
1. Post-Market Review: Adulteration and Misbranding	25
2. Premarket Approval: Food Additives	26
3. Substances Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS)	27
<i>C. Regulation of Bioengineered Food</i>	28
1. Draft Guidance on Labeling	28
2. Proposed Rule on Premarket Notice	30
<i>D. FDA Enforcement</i>	31
Chapter 5:	
I. EPA and FIFRA	33
<i>A. Statutory Authorities</i>	33
1. FIFRA	33
2. The FFDCA	33
<i>B. The FIFRA Framework</i>	33
1. Intent Governs	33
2. Active and Inert Ingredients	33
3. The Registration Standard	34
4. Data Requirements and Data Compensation	34
5. Labels and Labeling	34
6. FIFRA-Authorized Exemptions—Section 25(b)	35
7. Pesticidal Devices	35
8. The Registrant's Continuing Obligation	35
9. Experimental Use Permits	36
<i>C. Regulation of Pesticides That Are Derived From Natural Materials</i>	36
1. Biopesticides	36
2. Plant Pesticides or Plant-Incorporated Protectants	36
3. Fitting Biological Control Agents Into the FIFRA Framework	37

4. Policy and Proposed Rule on Plant Pesticides	37
5. Tolerances and the Applicability of Section 25(b)(2)	37
6. EPA’s Approach to Plant Pesticides	38
7. Why Are Plant Defense Substances Considered Pesticides?	38
8. Exempt PIPs	39
9. Even Exempt PIPs Are Subject to Adverse Effects Reporting	39
10. Inert Ingredients	39
11. EUPs for Plant Pesticides	40
12. Issues Remaining	40
13. The Potential for Development of Insect Resistance	40
14. The StarLink™ Experience	40
<i>D. Case Study: Bt-Maize—Refuges and Butterflies</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>E. Case Study: Herbicide-Tolerant Soybean—Updating a Tolerance</i>	<i>42</i>
Chapter 6:	
I. EPA and TSCA	43
<i>A. The Role of EPA Under TSCA</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>B. Principal Statutory Authorities of EPA Under TSCA</i>	<i>43</i>
1. Definition of a “Chemical Substance”	43
2. Primary Regulatory Provisions	43
<i>C. The TSCA Jurisdictional Trigger for Genetically Modified Organisms</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>D. The MCAN Requirements</i>	<i>45</i>
1. New Intergeneric Organisms—The Taxonomic Classification Test	45
2. Scope of the MCAN Rule and Provisions	45
3. MCAN Requirements	46
<i>E. MCAN Exemptions for Research and Development</i>	<i>46</i>
1. Scope	46
2. NIH Guideline Exemption	47
3. Activities Conducted Within a Structure	47
4. Activities Conducted Outside a Structure	47
5. The TERA	47
<i>F. The TME</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>G. General Exemptions for New Microorganisms (Tier I and Tier II Exemptions)</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>H. Administrative Procedures</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>I. Case Study No. VI: Bioremediation and Biosensing Using Bacteria</i>	<i>49</i>
1. <i>Pseudomonas Fluorescens Strain HK44</i>	<i>49</i>
2. EPA’s Risk Assessment Review Under TSCA	49
3. Role of Other Agencies	50
Chapter 7:	
I. EPA’s Regulation of Bioremediation	51
<i>A. The Role of EPA</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>B. Bioremediation Defined</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>C. How Does Bioremediation Work?</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>D. Using Bioremediation Techniques to Reduce the Toxicity of Industrial Waste</i>	<i>52</i>
1. Chemicals Industry	52
2. Pulp and Paper Industry	52
3. Mining and Metals Industry	52

4. Energy Industry	52
<i>E. Using Bioremediation Techniques to Perform Better Remedial Actions</i>	53
1. In Situ Bioremediation of Soil	53
2. Ex Situ Bioremediation of Soil	53
3. In Situ Bioremediation of Groundwater	53
<i>F. Introduction to CERCLA</i>	54
1. Discovery of Releases	54
2. The CERCLA Response Process	54
3. The HRS	54
4. Listing Policies	55
5. The Removal Process	55
6. Removal Action	55
7. The Remedial Process	55
8. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS)	55
9. The RI	56
10. The FS	56
11. Remedy Selection	57
12. Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA)	57
13. Operation and Maintenance (O&M)	57
14. Deleting Sites From the NPL	57
<i>G. RCRA Corrective Action</i>	57
1. RCRA Statutory Authority	57
2. Releases at Permitted Facilities	58
3. Interim Status Corrective Action	58
4. Existing Regulations	58
5. The Corrective Action Process	59
6. Corrective Action Implementation	59
7. The Proposed Corrective Action Regulations	59
8. Proposed Corrective Action Procedures	60
9. The Philosophy of RCRA's Corrective Action Program	62
Chapter 8:	
I. Liability and Litigation	65
<i>A. GM Crop Product Litigation</i>	65
1. The StarLink™ Recall and Subsequent Litigation	65
2. Rejection, Segregation, and Labeling of Other GMPs	66
3. Business Claims	66
4. Consumer Lawsuits	67
5. Health Lawsuits	67
6. Strategic Considerations	68
<i>B. Environmental Litigation</i>	68
Appendices:	
I. Proposal for a Coordinated Framework for Regulation of Biotechnology, 49 Fed Reg. 50856 (Dec. 31, 1984)	69
II. Coordinated Framework for Regulation of Biotechnology; Announcement of Policy and Notice for Public Comment, 51 Fed. Reg. 23302 (June 26, 1986)	93

III. CEQ and OSTP Assessment: Case Studies of Environmental Regulations
for Biotechnology 103

- A. Case Study I—Growth-Enhanced Salmon 113
- B. Case Study II—Bt-Maize 160
- C. Case Study III—Herbicide-Tolerant Soybean 230
- D. Case Study IV—Farm Animal (Goat) That Produced Human Drugs 287
- E. Case Study V—Bioremediation Using Poplar Trees 307
- F. Case Study VI—Bioremediation and Biosensing Using Bacteria 322