Kentucky's Causal Analysis for Assessing Waters with Narrative Criteria: A More Informed and Reproducible Approach


Breakout Theme: Using stressor identification when there are no applicable numeric criteria: experiences and lessons




ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET Katie McKone (katie.mckone@ky.gov) Nick Reif (nicholas.reif@ky.gov)

Water Quality Branch Kentucky Division of Water

- Kentucky uses stressor identification (or causal analysis) when determining an appropriate cause
 of impairment for those pollutants that have narrative criteria (specific conductivity, nutrients,
 alkalinity, sedimentation) for the aquatic life designated uses.
- In Kentucky's water quality standards, all pollutants with a narrative criterion are accompanied by the phrase "the indigenous aquatic community is adversely affected".
- Kentucky defines adversely affected to "alter or change the community structure or function, to reduce the number or proportion of sensitive species, or to increase the number or proportion of pollution tolerant aquatic species."




Demonstration of Impact is First Step (and sufficient for delisting narratives)




Causal Analysis

- What was the approach in 2019?
 - Starting with paired (mostly) observations for pollutant X and a macroinvertebrate biological index score/narrative rating
 - Determine the observed concentration of pollutant X that falls at 95th percentile from the population of 'good' or 'excellent' macroinvertebrate communities.
- Limitations
 - Reproducibility (Excel)
 - Narrow temporal extent (2013-2019)
 - Incomplete monitoring program representation
 - Lacking exploration of stress-response relationships with other potentially important covariates
 - Stream size classes
 - Habitat metrics
 - Regional transitions areas
 - Growing season



Causal Analysis

- What was our updated approach in 2023?
 - General process:
 - Workflows that are reproducible and reviewable
 - Deliverables that are consumable
 - Key Goals:
 - Expand the dataset: **Consider** all available biological data from 2000-2023
 - Explore the influence of environmental variables
 - Stream size classes
 - Habitat metrics
 - Regional transition areas
 - Growing season
 - Trends within or across Monitoring Programs
 - Transparency and accessibility
 - Can this information be compiled into a single, flat file that staff can work with and explore without advanced coding and intensive data processing?



Harmonize

- QA/QC Data Review
 - Sample processing notes, taxonomic resolution, ect.
- Data Processing: Combine multiple data types with varying organizational structures from two databases
 - 'EDAS' Microsoft Access: 2000 2013
 - 'KWADE' ORACLE: 2013-2023




Data Types

- Water Chemistry
- *In situ* Field Measurements
- Stream Flow Observations
- RBP Habitat Assessments
- Macroinvertebrate index results


Temporal associations between pollutant-biology-habitat sampling events

- One to one (same day collection event)
- One to almost one (same week/month)
- Many to one (same year)
- Many to many (multiple years with biology and monthly chemistry - Reference Trends)



Summarize & Visualize

- Custom functions designed to:
 - Determine pollutant concentrations at user defined percentiles
 - Allow for specification of different constraints and grouping factors
 - Compile results into a manageable and comprehendible format
 - Visualize stressor-response relationships
 - Automatically archive summary reports and data visualizations during iterative 'loops' evaluating multiple pollutant-constraint combinations




Memorialize: GitHub repository -

https://github.com/NicholasTReif/KY---Causal-Analysis-Experience-and-Lessons

Screening Values - Final Method


- All programs included
- Headwater and wadeable sites combined into one data set
- If a station with an MBI score from an individual year had multiple site visits from that same year, then the station mean from that year was used in the analysis
- If a station had both a primary and secondary bioregion, then the station was included in the analysis for the primary bioregion
- Screening value determined as the 95th percentile of sites that scored a good or excellent on the MBI
- The goal of these Screening Values matter: Just a few excursions could potentially contribute to a listing



Specific Conductivity

Red dots represent reference stations; blue dots represent stations that were riffle kicked in the MVIR or 20jabbed in the PR. Vertical red lines mark the updated 95th percentiles (2024 screening value); vertical blue lines reflect the 2019 screening values.

 Narrative Criterion: Total dissolved solids or specific conductance shall not be changed to the extent that the indigenous aquatic community is adversely affected



Meeting

Impaired

No SV Excursions

Lack of Evidence

to List

Meeting

(Despite SV


Excursions)

Impaired

SV Excursions

Evidence to


List



Alkalinity

Red dots represent reference stations; blue dots represent stations that were riffle kicked in the MVIR or 20jabbed in the PR. Vertical red lines mark the updated 95th percentiles (2024 screening value); vertical blue lines reflect the 2019 screening values.

 Narrative Criterion: Alkalinity shall not be reduced or increased to a degree that may adversely affect the aquatic community



Meeting

Impaired

No SV Excursions

Lack of Evidence

to List

Meeting

(Despite SV


Excursions)


Impaired

SV Excursions

Evidence to

List






Nitrate/Nitrite

Red dots represent reference stations; blue dots represent stations that were riffle kicked in the MVIR or 20jabbed in the PR. Vertical red lines mark the updated 95th percentiles (2024 screening value); vertical blue lines reflect the 2019 screening values.

 Nutrients Criterion. Nutrients shall not be elevated in a surface water to a level that results in a eutrophication problem.



Meeting

Impaired

No SV Excursions

Lack of Evidence

to List

Meeting

(Despite SV


Excursions)

Impaired


SV Excursions

Evidence to


List



Specific Conductivity




Nutrients




Lines of Evidence:

- Impact to aquatic community
- Screening value excursions (NO₂/₃, TP, TOC, TKN)
- Other supporting Indicators
 - DO saturation paired with high pH (from definition of eutrophication)
 - Algal Observations (from definition of eutrophication)
 - Individual metrics that are sensitive within the bioregion
 - Potential source exists




Nutrients



Lines of Evidence:


- Impact to aquatic community
- Screening value excursions (NO₂/₃, TP, TOC, TKN)
- Other supporting Indicators
 - DO saturation paired with high pH (from definition of eutrophication)
 - Algal Observations (from definition of eutrophication)
 - Individual metrics that are sensitive within the bioregion
 - Potential source exists



Provides Defensibility, Especially in Transition

• It's not always this clean...





• By having data drive the decisions that are made within the orange boxes, borderline decisions about a 303(d)listing associated with a narrative criterion becomes more defensible and repeatable.

Future Work

- Screening values for substrate characterization
- Fish metrics
- Other macroinvertebrates metrics that aren't metrics from the MBI
- Joining chemistry data from nearby stations
- Updated information will be reflected in CALM for 2026 Cycle
- Targeted monitoring where data gaps exist

Lessons

- Value of Historic Data (and the benefit of time spent reviewing/preserving)
- Sense of "Drowning in Data" ---> R scripts as a solution
- Baseline data set can then be used for other purposes with just a few updates to a script

Thank You! Questions?

Katie McKone (katie.mckone@ky.gov); Nick Reif (nicholas.reif@ky.gov)