



# **Evaluating bacteria storm samples in the assessment**

Justin Donahue – Washington State

June 4, 2024









## **Contact Recreation Criteria**

#### ○ Freshwater

- E. coli (fecal coliform through 2020)
  - 90 day averaging period
  - Geometric mean 100 CFU per 100 mL
    - Minimum 3 samples
  - <10% exceeding 320 CFU per 100 mL</p>

#### o Marine

- Enterococci (fecal coliform through 2020)
  - 90 day averaging period
  - Geometric mean 30 CFU per 100 mL
    - Minimum 3 samples
  - <10% exceeding 110 CFU per 100 mL</p>



## **Shellfish Harvesting Criteria**

#### $\circ$ Marine

- Fecal coliform
  - 12 month averaging period
  - Geometric mean 14 CFU per 100 mL
    - Minimum 5 samples
  - <10% exceeding 43 CFU per 100 mL</p>





#### **Evaluating bacteria for the assessment**

- Daily value = largest daily mean from a single location
- Daily values grouped rolling 3 months (recreation)

- 12 months (shellfish)

- Daily values evaluated against 10% criteria
  - Cat 5 = >10% exceed, with at least 2 samples exceeding
- Grouped values used to calculate geometric mean
  - Cat 5 = Exceedance in averaging period

"Ecology will remove monitoring data from the evaluation when the intention of the monitoring is to target high bacteria levels."







#### Identifying targeted high level sampling

- Study description
- Regional staff review





#### Identifying targeted high level sampling

- Study description
- Regional staff review
- Result comment field in EIM datasets

| Parameter      | Location ID  | Collection<br>Date  | Calculated<br>Value | Result<br>Comment |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Fecal coliform | Mont-Mouth   | 1/5/2021 12:00      | 14                  | Base Flow         |
|                |              | 1/31/2021           |                     | Storm             |
| Fecal collform | Nont-Nouth   | 22:30               | 47                  | Event             |
| Fecal coliform | Mont-Mouth   | 2/15/2021           | 330                 | Storm             |
|                |              | 12:30               |                     | Event             |
| Fecal coliform | Mont-Mouth   | 4/1/2021 12:00      | 29                  | Base Flow         |
| Fecal coliform | Tylers-Mid   | 1/5/2021 1<br>13:00 | 156                 | Base Flow         |
|                |              | 1/31/2021           |                     | Storm             |
| recarcomorm    | Tylers-ivila | 21:30               | 020                 | Event             |
|                |              | 2/15/2021           |                     | Storm             |
| Fecal conform  | Tylers-ivila | 13:30               | 280                 | Event             |
| Fecal coliform | Tylers-Mid   | 4/1/2021 13:00      | 96                  | Base Flow         |
|                |              |                     |                     |                   |



#### Identifying targeted high level sampling

- Study description
- Regional staff review
- Result comment field in EIM datasets
- Review of high count datasets

|  | Assessment<br>Unit             | Sampling<br>Year | Data<br>Source | Location ID             | Study ID              | Calculated<br>Value |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|  | 48122F4J1_01_01                | 2011             | EIM            | CGR020                  | CC-LISP               | 7000                |
|  | 17020006000099<br>_001_001     | 2012             | WQ Portal      | SKOKDATA_WQ<br>X-SWQM-8 | SWQM                  | 9120                |
|  | 17110019005203<br>_001_001     | 2019             | EIM            | CCD15                   | G0500025              | 9500                |
|  | 17110019005393                 | 2007             | EIM            | 34SFPR33.8              | JICA0000              | 9800                |
|  | <br>17110019013353<br>_001_001 | 2018             | WQ Portal      | NOOKSACK_W<br>QX-SW19   | Drayton_Harbor<br>_WQ | 10000               |
|  | 17010216009414<br>_002_002     | 2011             | WQ Portal      | WSDA_WQX-<br>DD5        | NEP_2019_WS<br>DA     | 12050               |
|  | 17110002000725<br>_001_001     | 2014             | EIM            | COLynnwood_<br>SC2      | Lynnwood_TM<br>DL     | 13260               |
|  | 17110020000286<br>_002_002     | 2015             | EIM            | 28-COU-0.01             | BEDI0022              | 18200               |
|  | 48122F4J1_01_01                | 2014             | EIM            | COM_FC4                 | MonroeWQ              | 20000               |
|  | 17080002000314<br>_001_001     | 2014             | WQ Portal      | SQUAXIN-CLA1            | SFEW                  | 21200               |



### **Challenges to identify targeted sampling**

- There is A LOT OF DATA
- We do not review every study!
- Precipitation data generally not reported



|           | Fecal coliform | E. Coli | Enterococci |
|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|
| EIM       | 337,051        | 24,814  | 68,098      |
| WQ Portal | 75,085         | 58,243  | 14,634      |
| Total     | 412,136        | 83,057  | 82,732      |



#### Pros

- Excludes some studies ONLY targeting high levels
- Appropriately applies our WQS
- Our approach is more protective of WQ

#### Cons

- Wet weather sampling is representative of ambient conditions in many areas
- May be underestimating ambient conditions if data is removed
- Some non-storm sampling may be unnecessarily excluded
- Not all storm sampling identified



#### **Potential improvements**

"Ecology will remove monitoring data from the evaluation when the intention of the monitoring is to target high bacteria levels."

- Better define "monitoring to target high bacteria levels" and what is done with this data
  - At what point does the sample become a storm sample?
    - Rainfall in 24/48 hrs
    - Different between Western/Eastern WA, potentially ecoregions
  - Are all storm samples removed from ambient monitoring?



## Thank you

Justin Donahue Water Quality Assessment Lead justin.donahue@ecy.wa.gov